

# Viewpoint cards

Make a map of the interdependency relation between **[ACTOR X]** and **[ACTOR Y]**, shaped by **[ANGLE Z]**.

- select one actor card, this is **[ACTOR X]**
- select another actor card, this is **[ACTOR Y]**
- select one angle card, this is **[ANGLE Z]**

<https://octomode.vvvvvaria.org/viewpoint-cards/pdf/>

# tools

*possible tools to use in a web-to-print practice*

# **academics**

*individuals, organisations or corporations who use  
web-to-print in academic contexts*

# peers

*your colleagues, collaborators and companions in  
web-to-print*

# printers

*those that print things\*, their work methods and the standards they work with*

\*printers can also be machines

# universities

*the role of education, the time that it provides to  
experiment*

# **communities of practice**

*the role of institutions, cultural organisations, groups*

For example: PrePostPrint, Velvetyne, ...

# designers

*individual designers or small design groups using web-to-print*

For example: OSP, Luuse, Bonjour Monde, ...



# standards

*the role of standards and standardization*

Here we can think of technical standards, standards as in values, common practices (something that is repeated by multiple people), ...

# networks

What are the spaces in which you usually operate?  
Which people do you encounter there?

Here we can think about trans-local networks (based in a specific city for example), groups formed within a specific language, situated industries (like the academic web-to-print industry in Los Angeles (US)),  
...

## **needs**

*different urgencies that influence your choice of tools*

For example, a need to not be alone in your practice, or to be unrestricted in how you work.

## **life situations**

*the current situation you are in might shape your work rhythm or your needs*

For example, the difference between working under a contract or freelance, or having to take care of family members.

## **social contexts**

*the people, techniques and tools you encounter and use together*

The spaces you dwell within might be full of possibilities for surprise while encountering new people and their ways of working. Or these spaces may be stable and based on regularity, where not much changes. Or something in between?

# landmarks

*points, or moments when something changed,  
setting you on a new path*

For example: the moment CSS regions was not supported anymore by browsers, or, the moment you were introduced to web-to-print practices.

# politics

*what informs your choices?*

Here we can think about the freedom to make technological choices, the desire to support free software projects, environmental impact of specific ways of working, ...

# possible engagements

*What possibilities for engagement emerge?*

For example between collaborators, other designers, publishers, tool developers, printers.

Who can you engage with?

What makes it possible to engage with others?

Where do we meet each other?



# **collaboration environments**

How do tools change the dynamics of collaboration?

How much space do you have in shaping a collaboration environment?

What knowledge is required to be able to shape a collaboration environment?

## **occasional tools**

*immediate hacks or workarounds, just for the moment*

Here we can think about the temporality of a tool. Sometimes you just need to have a workaround for the specific situation.

# trans\*feminism

*We refer to the research as [trans\*feminist], in order to convoke around that star (\*) all intersectional and intra-sectional aspects that are possibly needed. Our trans\*feminist lens is sharpened by queer and anti-colonial sensibilities, and oriented towards (but not limited to) trans\*generational, trans\*media, trans\*disciplinary, trans\*geopolitical, trans\*expertise, and trans\*genealogical forms of study.*

Possible Bodies (Femke Snelting, Jara Rocha), *introduction* in Volumetric Regimes (2022), <https://volumetricregimes.xyz/index.php?title=Introduction>

# feminist methods

- *experiential and collective basis*
- *processual nature*
- *honouring contradiction and partialness*
- *situated historicity with great attention to detail and specificity*
- *the simultaneous application of all of these points*

Susan Leigh Star, *Misplaced Concretism and Concrete Situations* (1994) in *Boundary Objects and Beyond: Working with Leigh Star* (2016), p. 149 <https://direct.mit.edu/books/book/4041/Boundary-Objects-and-BeyondWorking-with-Leigh-Star>; via Michael Murtaugh, *Torn at the seams: vernacular approaches to teaching with computational tools* in *Vernacular comes to matter* (2021), [https://vltk.vvvvvaria.org/w/Torn\\_at\\_the\\_seams:vernacular\\_approaches\\_to\\_teaching\\_with\\_computational\\_tools](https://vltk.vvvvvaria.org/w/Torn_at_the_seams:vernacular_approaches_to_teaching_with_computational_tools)

# good and bad design

*conventions, best practices and opinions around style and how it is applied*

Who shapes such value judgements?

How do styles translate to other environments?

Which habits make something more legible, more readable?

Which expectations (regarding style and design) influence your design?

Who expects what?

Where are these expectations shaped?

# pedagogy

*desires and possibilities to learn and explore*

What would you like learn?

In what way does a tool invite you to learn?

What resources are available to support learning?